## **DRAFT SAVINGS PROPOSAL**

Proposal Title:	Sustainable Development Team efficiencies				
Reference:	SAV / PLA 005 / 21-22	Savings Type:	Reduction in provision		
Directorate:	Place	Savings Service Area:	Housing (General Fund)		
Directorate Service:	Housing & Regeneration	Strategic Priority Outcome:	5. People live in a borough that is clean and green		
Lead Officer and Post:	Abdul Khan, Service Manager, Sustainability & Private Sector Housing	Lead Member and Portfolio:	Cllr Sirajul Islam, Statutory Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Housing		

Financial Impact:		
Budget (£000)		
Staffing Impact (if applicable):		

Employees (FTE) or state N/A

	Current Budget 2020-21
	770
Ξ	
	Current 2020-21

Savings/Income 2021-22	Savings/Income 2022-23	Savings/Income 2023-24	Total Savings/Income
(69)	-	1	(69)
FTE Reductions 2021-22	FTE Reductions 2022-23	FTE Reductions 2023-24	Total FTE Reductions
(1)	-	-	(1)

### **Proposal Summary:**

There is a current vacancy in the Sustainable Development Team with a funding available £45,760 for the vacant post. This post can be deleted without having any impact on delivery of services as the work can be shared amongst the remaining staff.

The Sustainable Development Team also have a budget of £40,528 for supplies and services which is primarily used for the funding of studies and development of evidence base. Through the carbon fund, the team generate some income which can now fund these studies and evidence base; therefore it is possible to propose a saving of £25,000 without having any impact on the delivery of services, providing a total saving of £70,760.

The DFG team consists of three staff; 1 x SO2 and 2 x PO3. They manage £2m worth of grants per year. They undertake the survey work, grant eligibility assessments, tenders, engaging with contractors and payment of grants. There is still a need for strengthening this team which was transferred as a result of the SPP restructure. One post within the DFG team needs to be regraded to take on a principal role as supervision needs to be strengthened given amount of grant that is handled. The regrading would be 1 x PO3 to PO4 = £3,901.

This report is proposing a total savings of £68,859.

# **Risk and Mitigations:**

What will the major risks on the project be?

No risk

What will their impact be on the project and Tower Hamlets Council?

No Impact

What are the possible mitigation strategies?

Quantify the risk if possible, i.e. if the risk materialises the saving will reduce by £x.

No risk

#### **Resources and Implementation:**

What are the resources needed to build up the proposal?

No resources required

Is feasibility work required?

No

What needs to happen for implementation? Timeline and activities required by month.

Implementation can go ahead

# SAVINGS PROPOSAL – BUDGET EQUALITY ANALYSIS SCREENING TOOL

Trigger Questions	Yes / No	If Yes – please provide a brief summary of how this impacts on each protected characteristic as identified in the Equalities Act 2010. This will need to be expanded in a full Equality Analysis at full Business Case stage.		
	<u>.</u>			
Does the change reduce resources available to address inequality?	No			
Does the change reduce resources available to support vulnerable residents?	No			
Does the change involve direct impact on front line services?	No			
Changes to a Service				
Does the change alter who is eligible for the service?	No			
Does the change alter access to the service?	No			
Changes to Staffing				
Does the change involve a reduction in staff?	Yes	It's a current vacant post		
Does the change involve a redesign of the roles of staff?	Yes	Some staff taking on additional duties		
Summary:		Additional Information and Comments:		
To be completed at the end of completing the Screening Tool.				
Based on the Screening Tool, will a full EA will be required?				